

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 08/818,520 03/14/1997 JIM FARQUHAR P97.0500-R 9633 27557 7590 06/05/2006 **EXAMINER** BLANK ROME LLP YAO, SAMCHUAN CUA 600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20037

> 1733 DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/818,520	FARQUHAR E	T AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Chuan C. Y	ao 1733	
All Participants:	Status of App	lication:	
(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.	(3)		
(2) Mr. Charles Wolfe, Jr	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>1 June 2006</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representativ	e)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: all pending claims			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF	WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequirect.</li> </ul>	ion. The examiner will propagate record of the subs	ovide a written summary of tance of the interview, since	the substance
la			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A)	oplicant/Applicant's Repre	esentative Signature – if app	propriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Suggested for Counsel to submit another specification and claims which comply with the reissue practice (i.e. bracket and underlining); and, a new oath which reflects the new amendment (particularly, adding a new dependent claim to claim 1). It was noted that the amendment to a drawing which includes a groove was not entered as it introduces new matter to the original disclosure. Counsel agreed.